21 C.J.S. Courts § 71

Corpus Juris Secundum | May 2023 Update

Courts

M. Elaine Buccieri, J.D.; James Buchwalter, J.D.; Amy G. Gore, J.D., of the staff of the National Legal Research Group, Inc; and Lonnie E. Griffith, Jr., J.D.

- II. Jurisdiction of Courts
- E. Mode of Acquiring Jurisdiction
- 2. Jurisdiction by Party's Consent, Agreement, Waiver, or Estoppel
 - § 71. Subject matter jurisdiction not conferred by consent, agreement, waiver, or estoppel

Topic Summary | References | Correlation Table

West's Key Number Digest

West's Key Number Digest, Courts 23, 24

If the court lacks subject matter jurisdiction in a particular case, it cannot be conferred by the parties' consent, agreement, waiver, estoppel, or conduct.

Because the court's subject matter jurisdiction does not relate to the rights of the parties, ¹ parties cannot by their actions confer subject matter jurisdiction on the court if it is lacking, ² whether by consent, ³ agreement, ⁴ contract, ⁵ stipulation, ⁶ acquiescence, ⁷ waiver, estoppel, ⁸ laches, ⁹ failure to demur or object, ¹⁰ appearance, ¹¹ or silence. ¹² It does matter whether the parties' act purporting to confer subject matter jurisdiction is explicit or implicit, if jurisdiction is lacking. ¹³

CUMULATIVE SUPPLEMENT

Cases:

Subject matter jurisdiction may not be created by waiver, estoppel, consent, or conduct of the parties. Florence Lake Investments, LLC v. Berg, 312 Neb. 183, 978 N.W.2d 308 (2022).

Subject-matter jurisdiction cannot be conferred by agreement, consent, or waiver, and issues involving subject-matter jurisdiction can be raised by the court or a party at any time in a proceeding. Trenton Indian Housing Authority v. Poitra, 2022 ND 87, 973 N.W.2d 419 (N.D. 2022).

[END OF SUPPLEMENT]

Westlaw. © 2023 Thomson Reuters. No Claim to Orig. U.S. Govt. Works.

Footnotes	
1	Neb.—Holdsworth v. Greenwood Farmers Cooperative, 286 Neb. 49, 835 N.W.2d 30 (2013).
2	Cal.—Bains v. Department of Industrial Relations, 244 Cal. App. 4th 1120, 198 Cal. Rptr. 3d 772 (3d Dist. 2016), review denied, (Apr. 27, 2016).
	Ky.—Basin Energy Co. v. Howard, 447 S.W.3d 179 (Ky. Ct. App. 2014).
	Mo.—Blanchette v. Blanchette, 476 S.W.3d 273 (Mo. 2015).
	Neb.—Holdsworth v. Greenwood Farmers Cooperative, 286 Neb. 49, 835 N.W.2d 30 (2013).
	N.C.—Carpenter v. Carpenter, 781 S.E.2d 828 (N.C. Ct. App. 2016).
	S.D.—Estate of Ducheneaux v. Ducheneaux, 2015 SD 11, 861 N.W.2d 519 (S.D. 2015).
3	Ala.—Orban v. Orban, 123 So. 3d 525 (Ala. Civ. App. 2012).
	Kan.—Miller v. Preisser, 295 Kan. 356, 284 P.3d 290 (2012).
	Miss.—Mississippi Dept. of Revenue v. AT & T Corp., 101 So. 3d 1139 (Miss. 2012).
	Neb.—Holdsworth v. Greenwood Farmers Cooperative, 286 Neb. 49, 835 N.W.2d 30 (2013).
	N.D.—Kelly v. Kelly, 2011 ND 167, 806 N.W.2d 133 (N.D. 2011).
	Wash.—Outsource Services Management, LLC v. Nooksack Business Corp., 181 Wash. 2d 272, 333 P.3d 380 (2014).
4	Conn.—Lucas v. Zoning Com'n of Town of Harwinton, 130 Conn. App. 587, 23 A.3d 1261 (2011).
	Miss.—Mississippi Dept. of Revenue v. AT & T Corp., 101 So. 3d 1139 (Miss. 2012).
	N.D.—Alliance Pipeline L.P. v. Smith, 2013 ND 117, 833 N.W.2d 464 (N.D. 2013).

Okla.—Chase Home Finance LLC v. Gravitt, 2015 OK CIV APP 46, 350 P.3d 401 (Div. 4 2015).

	Wash.—Outsource Services Management, LLC v. Nooksack Business Corp., 181 Wash. 2d 272, 333 P.3d 380 (2014).
5	N.Y.—In re Stanley, 79 A.D.3d 1620, 914 N.Y.S.2d 476 (4th Dep't 2010).
	Wash.—Outsource Services Management, LLC v. Nooksack Business Corp., 172 Wash. App. 799, 292 P.3d 147 (Div. 1 2013).
6	Cal.—In re Marriage of Corona, 172 Cal. App. 4th 1205, 92 Cal. Rptr. 3d 17 (4th Dist. 2009).
	Ill.—J & J Ventures Gaming, LLC v. Wild, Inc., 395 Ill. Dec. 317, 38 N.E.3d 194 (App. Ct. 5th Dist. 2015).
	Miss.—Mississippi Dept. of Revenue v. AT & T Corp., 101 So. 3d 1139 (Miss. 2012).
7	Neb.—Holdsworth v. Greenwood Farmers Cooperative, 286 Neb. 49, 835 N.W.2d 30 (2013).
	Utah—Utah Down Syndrome Foundation, Inc. v. Utah Down Syndrome Ass'n, 2012 UT 86, 293 P.3d 241 (Utah 2012).
	Va.—Swalef v. Anderson, 50 Va. App. 100, 646 S.E.2d 458 (2007).
8	Cal.—AP-Colton LLC v. Ohaeri, 240 Cal. App. 4th 500, 192 Cal. Rptr. 3d 754 (4th Dist. 2015).
	Colo.—People In Interest of S.T., 2015 COA 147, 361 P.3d 1154 (Colo. App. 2015).
	Kan.—Goldman v. University of Kansas, 365 P.3d 435, 326 Ed. Law Rep. 1067 (Kan. Ct. App. 2015).
	Neb.—Holdsworth v. Greenwood Farmers Cooperative, 286 Neb. 49, 835 N.W.2d 30 (2013).
	N.C.—Gerhauser v. Van Bourgondien, 767 S.E.2d 378 (N.C. Ct. App. 2014).
	N.D.—Alliance Pipeline L.P. v. Smith, 2013 ND 117, 833 N.W.2d 464 (N.D. 2013).
	Tex.—Entergy Corporation v. Jenkins, 469 S.W.3d 330 (Tex. App. Houston 1st Dist. 2015).
	As to estoppel to deny acts in excess of subject matter jurisdiction provided the court has subject matter jurisdiction, see § 99.
9	Colo.—People In Interest of S.T., 2015 COA 147, 361 P.3d 1154 (Colo. App. 2015).
	N.Y.—Hart Family, LLC v. Town of Lake George, 110 A.D.3d 1278, 974 N.Y.S.2d 154 (3d Dep't 2013).
10	Fla.—Ingraham v. State, 122 So. 3d 934 (Fla. 2d DCA 2013).
	III.—Dig Right In Landscaping v. Illinois Workers' Compensation Comm'n, 2014 IL App (1st) 130410WC, 384 Ill. Dec. 346, 16 N.E.3d 739 (App. Ct. 1st Dist. 2014).
	Kan.—Goldman v. University of Kansas, 365 P.3d 435, 326 Ed. Law Rep. 1067 (Kan. Ct. App. 2015).
	N.C.—State v. Collins, 783 S.E.2d 9 (N.C. Ct. App. 2016).
11	Ky.—Coffey v. Kehoe Rock and Stone, LLC, 270 S.W.3d 902 (Ky. Ct. App. 2008).
	Tenn.—Turner v. Turner, 473 S.W.3d 257 (Tenn. 2015).
12	Conn.—Burton v. Connecticut Siting Council, 161 Conn. App. 329, 127 A.3d 1066 (2015).
	Tenn.—Turner v. Turner, 473 S.W.3d 257 (Tenn. 2015).

Conn.—Kleen Energy Systems, LLC v. Commissioner of Energy and Environmental Protection, 319 Conn. 367, 125 A.3d 905 (2015).

End of Document

© 2023 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.